



ANTHONY M. GUTOWSKI  
202.408.4244  
gutowski@finnegan.com

December 21, 2001

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 05725.0980-00000

CUSTOMER NUMBER:



22852

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

BOX PATENT APPLICATION  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Re: New U.S. Patent Application  
Title: BEAUTY ADVISORY SYSTEM AND METHOD  
Inventors: **Gilles RUBINSTENN**  
**Daniella GIACCHETTI**  
**Frances PRUCHE**

Sir:

We enclose the following papers for filing in the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above patent application.


1. Application - 50 pages, including title page and abstract, and including 47 claims total.
2. Drawings - 10 sheets of formal drawings containing Figs. 1-10.

**Please address all correspondence with respect to this application to:**

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3315

This application is being filed under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) and (f). Applicants await notification from the Patent and Trademark Office of the time set for filing the executed Declaration and for paying the statutory filing fee.

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

  
Anthony M. Gutowski  
Reg. No. 38,742

Enclosures

Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Age	30.5	4.2	18	45
Gender	50%	50%	Male	Female
Marital status	65%	35%	Married	Single
Education	12.5	1.5	10	15
Income	1500	500	500	3000
Occupation	30%	70%	Student	Worker
Religion	80%	20%	Muslim	Other
Health status	75%	25%	Good	Poor
Smoking status	40%	60%	Smoker	Non-smoker
Alcohol consumption	10%	90%	Yes	No
Exercise frequency	20%	80%	Regular	Irregular
Stress level	60%	40%	Low	High
Sleep quality	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Dietary habits	55%	45%	Healthy	Unhealthy
Family size	3.5	1.0	1	5
Urban/rural	70%	30%	Urban	Rural
Health insurance	85%	15%	Yes	No
Access to healthcare	90%	10%	Good	Poor
Healthcare cost	1000	300	500	2000
Healthcare satisfaction	60%	40%	Satisfied	Dissatisfied
Healthcare accessibility	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare quality	75%	25%	Good	Poor
Healthcare affordability	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare availability	80%	20%	Good	Poor
Healthcare effectiveness	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare safety	85%	15%	Good	Poor
Healthcare transparency	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare accountability	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare responsiveness	75%	25%	Good	Poor
Healthcare communication	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare collaboration	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare innovation	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare leadership	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare vision	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare mission	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare values	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare culture	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare climate	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare environment	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare community	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare network	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare system	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare organization	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare management	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare strategy	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare plan	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare policy	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare procedure	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare protocol	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare guideline	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare standard	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare benchmark	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare target	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare objective	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare outcome	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare result	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare impact	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare effect	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare benefit	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare advantage	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare strength	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare weakness	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare opportunity	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare threat	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare challenge	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare risk	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare issue	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare problem	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare concern	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare question	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare answer	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare solution	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare method	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare technique	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare approach	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare style	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare manner	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare behavior	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare attitude	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare belief	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare opinion	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare view	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare perspective	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare position	60%	40%	Good	Poor
Healthcare status	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare condition	65%	35%	Good	Poor
Healthcare situation	70%	30%	Good	Poor
Healthcare context	60%			